

Oakview High School

School Accountability Report Card

Reported Using Data from the 2016-17 School Year

Published During 2017-18

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

About This School

Contact Information (School Year 2017-18)

School Contact Information	
School Name	Oakview High School
Street	20083 Olinda Rd
City, State, Zip	Anderson, CA 96007
Phone Number	530-365-6054
Principal	Ken Hood
E-mail Address	khood@auhsd.net
Web Site	http://www.auhsd.net/oakviewhighschool_home.aspx
CDS Code	45 69856 4530051

District Contact Information	
District Name	Anderson Union High School District
Phone Number	530-378-0568
Superintendent	Tim Azevedo
E-mail Address	tazevedo@auhsd.net
Web Site	http://www.auhsd.net

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2017-18)

Oakview High School Mission Statement: The mission of Oakview High School is to provide a safe, engaging, and alternative learning environment that challenges students to develop personal and academic responsibility.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2016-17)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Grade 9	6
Grade 10	23
Grade 11	29
Grade 12	40
Total Enrollment	98

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2016-17)

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	2
American Indian or Alaska Native	9.2
Asian	3.1
Filipino	0
Hispanic or Latino	14.3
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	2
White	67.3
Two or More Races	2
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	54.1
English Learners	1
Students with Disabilities	4.1
Foster Youth	2

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials

Teachers	School			District
	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2017-18
With Full Credential	3	3	4	82
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	4
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	14

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments *	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

* Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2017-18)

Year and month in which data were collected: December 2017

Subject	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	All textbooks and materials are current, in good condition, and available to all students. A full list of Core and Supplemental textbooks and materials can be found at: http://www.auhsd.net	Yes	
Mathematics	All textbooks and materials are current, in good condition, and available to all students. A full list of Core and Supplemental textbooks and materials can be found at: http://www.auhsd.net	Yes	
Science	All textbooks and materials are current, in good condition, and available to all students. A full list of Core and Supplemental textbooks and materials can be found at: http://www.auhsd.net	Yes	
History-Social Science	All textbooks and materials are current, in good condition, and available to all students. A full list of Core and Supplemental textbooks and materials can be found at: http://www.auhsd.net	Yes	

Subject	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Foreign Language	All textbooks and materials are current, in good condition, and available to all students. A full list of Core and Supplemental textbooks and materials can be found at: http://www.auhsd.net	Yes	
Health	All textbooks and materials are current, in good condition, and available to all students. A full list of Core and Supplemental textbooks and materials can be found at: http://www.auhsd.net	Yes	
Visual and Performing Arts	All textbooks and materials are current, in good condition, and available to all students. A full list of Core and Supplemental textbooks and materials can be found at: http://www.auhsd.net	Yes	

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

The Oakview High School campus is located on the North Valley High School campus. It is made up of a secretarial space, public restrooms, three offices, and a large student room. The facility is modern and in good condition. The offices are used by the teachers for meetings with their students. The student area is used for students who are testing. Being an independent study school, there is no need for a cafeteria or gymnasium. The school provides a safe, clean, and functional environment for learning through proper facilities, maintenance, and campus supervision. The school is in full compliance with all codes, including ADA accessibility. A janitorial staff of one person cleans nightly and is adequate for this size facility. The maintenance office is located on the Anderson Union High School campus and is on-call as needed.

The District continues to maintain a deferred maintenance program despite legislative changes affecting the funding and requirements for the traditional State School Deferred Maintenance program. The district budgets for major repair or replacement of existing school building components. Typically, this includes roofing, plumbing, heating, air conditioning, electrical systems, interior or exterior painting, and floor systems.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)

Using the **most recently collected** FIT data (or equivalent), provide the following:

- Determination of repair status for systems listed
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair
- The year and month in which the data were collected
- The overall rating

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)				
Year and month of the most recent FIT report: June 2017				
System Inspected	Repair Status			Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Good	Fair	Poor	
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	X			
Interior: Interior Surfaces	X			
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	X			
Electrical: Electrical	X			
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	X			
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	X			
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	X			

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)				
Year and month of the most recent FIT report: June 2017				
System Inspected	Repair Status			Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Good	Fair	Poor	
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	X			

Overall Facility Rating (Most Recent Year)

Year and month of the most recent FIT report: June 2017				
Overall Rating	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor
			X	

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- **Statewide assessments** (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

CAASPP Test Results in English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) and Mathematics for All Students Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven

Subject	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)					
	School		District		State	
	2015-16	2016-17	2015-16	2016-17	2015-16	2016-17
English Language Arts/Literacy (grades 3-8 and 11)	26	26	50	56	48	48
Mathematics (grades 3-8 and 11)	11	6	28	28	36	37

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2016-17)

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	41	19	46.34	26.32
Male	20	11	55	27.27
Female	21	8	38.1	25
Black or African American	--	--	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	--	--	--	--
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
White	29	14	48.28	21.43
Two or More Races	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	27	10	37.04	40
English Learners	--	--	--	--
Students with Disabilities	--	--	--	--
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The “Percent Met or Exceeded” is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

**CAASPP Test Results in Mathematics by Student Group
Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2016-17)**

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	41	17	41.46	5.88
Male	20	10	50	10
Female	21	7	33.33	0
Black or African American	--	--	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	--	--	--	--
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--
White	29	13	44.83	7.69
Two or More Races	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	27	9	33.33	11.11
English Learners	--	--	--	--
Students with Disabilities	--	--	--	--
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The “Percent Met or Exceeded” is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

**CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students
Grades Five, Eight, and Ten**

Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced					
	School		District		State	
	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16
Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)	--	19	47	47	56	54

Note: Science test results include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA) in grades five, eight, and ten.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The 2016-17 data are not available. The California Department of Education is developing a new science assessment based on the Next Generation Science Standards for California Public Schools (CA NGSS). The new California Science Test (CAST) was piloted in spring 2017. The CST and CMA for Science will no longer be administered.

Career Technical Education Programs (School Year 2016-17)

This year we have been fortunate to be a partner in a Career Pathways Trust Grant. This grant administered through Shasta College has a Manufacturing Trades and Health occupations focus. We also received a Specialized Secondary grant which focuses on Global Entrepreneurship. These new initiatives have only added to our already strong CTE programs in Agriculture, Culinary, Information Technology, and Public Safety. Our Freshman Career Choices curriculum is the foundation for preparing students to focus on a career and exploring our CTE pathways. Nearly all of our students participate in one way or another in CTE classes where they gain valuable “real-world” experiences with industry standard skills.

Another strength of our program is our ability to send students to the Shasta Trinity Regional Occupation Center. Our students have choices in Firefighting, Administration of Justice, Culinary, Medical Careers, Cosmetology, Careers with Children, Cisco Networking, and Automotive Technology. Our students complete a program with important job skills and work place confidence enabling them to be competitive in the job market or in their college studies.

Career Technical Education Participation (School Year 2016-17)

Measure	CTE Program Participation
Number of pupils participating in CTE	46
% of pupils completing a CTE program and earning a high school diploma	57
% of CTE courses sequenced or articulated between the school and institutions of postsecondary education	4

Courses for University of California (UC) and/or California State University (CSU) Admission

UC/CSU Course Measure	Percent
2016–17 Pupils Enrolled in Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	65.31
2015–16 Graduates Who Completed All Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	0

State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8):

- Pupil outcomes in the subject areas of physical education.

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2016-17)

Grade Level	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2017-18)

Parental involvement is instrumental in a student being successful in independent study. They often have to provide transportation, encouragement, structure, and--on occasion—academic knowledge. We have an open-door policy, which allows parents to be part of any weekly meetings between a student and his or her teacher. If parents have questions about independent study or the school, they can call their child’s teacher or the principal, Kenneth C. Hood, at (530) 365-6054. Parents also play an important role in helping to shape Oakview High School through participation on the School Site council and the WASC Accreditation Committee. For more information on how to become involved, contact Kenneth C. Hood, at (530) 365-6054, extension 50001.

State Priority: Pupil Engagement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Engagement (Priority 5):

- High school dropout rates; and
- High school graduation rates.

Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate (Four-Year Cohort Rate)

Indicator	School			District			State		
	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Dropout Rate	40	27.9	33.3	14.9	13.4	9.9	11.5	10.7	9.7
Graduation Rate	55	70.59	66.67	83.33	85.6	88.87	80.95	82.27	83.77

Completion of High School Graduation Requirements - Graduating Class of 2016 (One-Year Rate)

Group	Graduating Class of 2016		
	School	District	State
All Students	100	95.87	87.11
Black or African American	100	100	79.19
American Indian or Alaska Native	100	96.88	80.17
Asian	0	100	94.42
Filipino	0	100	93.76
Hispanic or Latino	100	91.25	84.58
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0	100	86.57
White	100	97.13	90.99
Two or More Races	71.43	88.24	90.59
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	96.15	99.55	85.45
English Learners	33.33	66.67	55.44
Students with Disabilities	0	97.78	63.9
Foster Youth	0	0	68.19

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

Suspensions and Expulsions

Rate	School			District			State		
	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Suspensions	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.1	7.7	9.9	3.8	3.7	3.6
Expulsions	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.1

School Safety Plan (School Year 2017-18)

Oakview High School has a Safe School Plan, which is reviewed annually and was last updated in October 2016. The plan addresses the response to a variety of natural and manmade disasters, including: earthquake, flood, intruder-on-campus, fire, etc. Oakview High School has a zero-tolerance policy toward violence, alcohol and drug use, hate crimes, and sexual harassment. These policies are spelled out in the Plan. Parents and community members can view the Safe School Plan at the school at their convenience.

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2017-18)

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status		In PI
First Year of Program Improvement		2007-2008
Year in Program Improvement*		Year 3
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	3
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	75

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

Subject	2014-15			2015-16			2016-17					
	Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms		
		1-22	23-32	33+		1-22	23-32	33+		1-22	23-32	33+
English				3	12			4	12			
Mathematics				2	8			2	7			
Science				3	6			2	6			
Social Science				3	15			3	13			

Note: Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2016-17)

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	0	98
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)		N/A
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)		N/A
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)		N/A
Psychologist		N/A
Social Worker		N/A
Nurse		N/A
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist		N/A
Resource Specialist		N/A
Other		N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

*One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Expenditures per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2015-16)

Level	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average Teacher Salary
	Total	Supplemental/ Restricted	Basic/ Unrestricted	
School Site	3419.00	5.	3414.00	72884
District	N/A	N/A	7716	\$69,290
Percent Difference: School Site and District	N/A	N/A	-77.3	5.1
State	N/A	N/A	\$6,574	\$77,535
Percent Difference: School Site and State	N/A	N/A	-63.3	-6.2

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2016-17)

Services as defined in the district's Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP):

- Title II (Part A)
- California School Age Families Education (Cal-SAFE)
- ROP
- IMFRP Instructional Material
- Work Experience

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2015-16)

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$39,168	\$46,060
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$64,360	\$70,769
Highest Teacher Salary	\$86,020	\$98,039
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)		
Average Principal Salary (Middle)		
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$100,949	\$127,576
Superintendent Salary	\$166,464	\$170,379
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	32%	33%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	5%	6%

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

Advanced Placement (AP) Courses (School Year 2016-17)

Subject	Number of AP Courses Offered*	Percent of Students In AP Courses
Computer Science		N/A
English		N/A
Fine and Performing Arts		N/A
Foreign Language		N/A
Mathematics		N/A
Science		N/A
Social Science		N/A
All courses		

Cells with N/A values do not require data.

*Where there are student course enrollments of at least one student.

Professional Development (Most Recent Three Years)

The primary areas of focus for staff development are Credit Recovery and Restorative Practices. Student performance, credit achievement and attendance data are used to determine the need for professional development. Professional development is delivered in after school workshops, conference attendance, and individual mentoring. Teachers are supported during implementation through in-class coaching, teacher-principal meetings, and student performance data reporting. The District offers three district wide in-service days for all staff in addition to numerous release days for departments and individuals to receive professional development around specific topics. We have created a week long workshop in the summer to create and evaluate common benchmark tests and pacing guides. We offer afterschool workshops primarily focused on Instructional Technology and Common Core State Standards. The District is committed to continuous improvement and assigns three teachers on a part time basis to provide instructional coaching.